

Appl. No. 10/848,734  
Amendment dated July 24, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of March 28, 2006

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The Examiner has objected to the drawings as they do not show a cascade of intensifiers. Applicant has canceled Claims 17 and 19 so that there is no cascade of intensifiers claimed, and therefore the objection should be withdrawn.


The Examiner has rejected Claims 1 - 9, 11 and 15 under 35 USC 102, and these claims have been canceled. The Examiner has indicated Claims 10, 12 - 14, and 16 - 18 as being objected to as they are dependent upon a rejected base claim but that they would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Accordingly Claim 10 has been rewritten to be in independent form. Claims 12 - 14 are unchanged and are dependent in series on Claim 10. Claims 16 and 17 are unchanged as they are dependent on Claim 14 which is indicated as allowable. Claim 18 has been rewritten to include the limitations of Claim 1 so that it should be allowable.

Enclosed are the priority documents being certified copies of UK 0312899.8 and UK 0407756.6.

It is urged that the amended claims overcome the objections and that the pending claims are now allowable. It is requested that the pending claims be allowed and that a Notice of Allowance issue in due course.

1320 Centre Street, Suite 300  
Newton, MA 02459-2400  
July 24, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

  
William Nitkin, Registration No. 27,220  
Tel. (617) 964-2300  
Fax: (617) 964-2307